

# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO	
09/963,774	09/26/2001	Jeffrey W. Nichols	EPH / 33	1743	
26875 75	590 05/21/2004		EXAMINER		
WOOD, HERRON & EVANS, LLP			THOMPSON, KENNETH L		
2700 CAREW TOWER 441 VINE STREET			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
CINCINNATI,	<del></del>	,	3672		
			DATE MAILED: 05/21/200	DATE MAILED: 05/21/2004	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Commence	09/963,774	NICHOLS, JEFFREY W.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Kenn Thompson	3672				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply if NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w.  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	e6(a). In no event, however, may a reply be time within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days ill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the cause the application to become ABANDONED	ely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. O (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 17 Fe	ebruary 2004.					
2a) ☐ This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) ☑ This	☐ This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) ☑ This action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the ments is						
closed in accordance with the practice under E	x parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 45	3 O.G. 213.				
Disposition of Claims						
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1,3-18,30-32 and 34</u> is/are pending in the application.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1,3-18,30-32 and 34</u> is/are rejected.					
·	7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.					
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	election requirement.					
Application Papers	•					
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examine	r.					
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the		• •				
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correcting 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	, , , , ,	, ,				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents 2. Certified copies of the priority documents 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priori	s have been received. s have been received in Application ity documents have been receive (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No d in this National Stage				
Attachment(s)	_					
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  4) Interview Summary (PTO-413)  Paper No(s)/Mail Date						
Notice of Dransperson's Patent Drawing Review (P10-948)     Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)     Paper No(s)/Mail Date		atent Application (PTO-152)				
C. Detect and Trademark Office						

Art Unit: 3672

### **DETAILED ACTION**

### Claim Objections

Claims 1, 3-18, 30-32 and 34 are objected to because of the following informalities:

Regarding claims 1,10, 30 and 32 the phrase "preferentially" renders the claim indefinite because it is unclear whether the limitations following the phrase are part of the claimed invention. See MPEP § 2173.05(d).

Claims 3-9, 11-18, 31, 32 and 34 depend from claims 1,10, 30 and 32 and are likewise objected to.

Appropriate correction is required.

# Allowable Subject Matter

The indicated allowability of claims 1, 3-18 and 30-34 is withdrawn.

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1, 3-6, 8, 9, 30 and 31 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Wells, U.S. 3,320,665 in view of Hundt et al., U.S. 4,603,997.

Regarding claims 1 and 30, Wells discloses in figures 1-3 (see attachment) a torsional vibration damper for a rotatable shaft. Wells discloses an annular inertia ring (20), a polymer

Art Unit: 3672

body (15) disposed radially inward from the inertia ring (15) including a radially extending wall having opposed annular surfaces and a service port (X) extending through the radiallyextending wall between the opposed annular surfaces; and an insert (10) disposed radially inward from the body, the insert formed of a structurally rigid material and mountable to the rotatable shaft, the insert including a support flange (14) projecting radially outward into the body and positioned radially from the service port (X). Wells discloses the insert including a plurality of support flanges (14) projecting radially outward into the polymer body, adjacent ones of the plurality of support flanges having an angular spacing about a circumference of the insert, wherein an axial force applied to the support flange is transferred to the insert such that the body remains substantially stress-free. Wells does not disclose an elastomeric layer disposed radially inward from the inertia ring. Hundt et al. teaches in figure 6 use of an elastomeric layer (16) disposed radially inward from the inertia ring (18) to allow the more flexible elastomeric layer to control rotational fluctuations of the inertia ring and the more rigid polymer body to support the damper with respect to the drive. It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to modify the polymer body disclosed by Wells to have an elastomeric layer disposed radially inward from the inertia ring as taught by Hundt et al. to allow the more flexible elastomeric layer to control rotational fluctuations of the inertia ring and the more rigid polymer body to support the damper with respect to the drive. The use of elastomer and polymer in vibration dampers is well known in the art.

As to claim 3, Wells discloses the support flange further comprises a seating surface (axial edges of 10) that is substantially coextensive with one of the first and the second annular surfaces of the polymer body.

Application/Control Number: 09/963,774

Art Unit: 3672

As to claim 4, Wells discloses the seating surface is free of a polymer material forming the polymer body.

As to claim 5, Wells discloses seating surface is at least partially encapsulated (entire insert including axial edge is encapsulated) in a polymer material forming the polymer body.

As to claims 6, and 31, Wells discloses the polymer body comprising a glass reinforced polyamide (Wells, col. 2, lines 32).

As to claim 8, Wells discloses the structurally rigid material (of 10) is a metal.

As to claim 9, Wells discloses the annular inertia ring (20) including a circumferential flange (25) that extends radially inward into the elastomeric layer.

Claim 7 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Wells, U.S. 3,320,665.

As to claim 7, Wells discloses all the claimed subject matter except for the polymer material being mechanically stable at a temperature of at least about 230°F. However it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to arrange for the polymer material disclose by Wells to be mechanically stable at a temperature of 230 degrees F, since it has been held to be within the general skill of a worker in the art to select a known material on the basis of its suitability for the intended use as a matter of obvious design choice. *In re Leshin*, 125 USPQ 416

Claim 16 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Hundt et al., U.S. 4,603,997.

As to claim 16, Hundt et al. discloses all the claimed subject matter except for the polymer material being mechanically stable at a temperature of at least about 230°F. However it

would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to arrange for the polymer material disclose by Wells to be mechanically stable at a temperature of 230 degrees F, since it has been held to be within the general skill of a worker in the art to select a known material on the basis of its suitability for the intended use as a matter of obvious design choice. *In re Leshin*, 125 USPQ 416

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 10-15, 17, 18, 32 and 34 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Hundt et al., U.S. 4,603,997.

As to claim 10, Hundt et al. discloses in figures 1-7 an annular inertia ring (18) an elastomeric layer (16) disposed radially inward from the inertia ring; a polymer body (14) disposed radially inward from the elastomeric layer, and an insert (36) disposed radially inward from the polymer body, the insert formed of a structurally rigid material and mountable to the rotatable shaft, the insert including a plurality of support flanges (40) projecting radially outward into the polymer body, adjacent ones of the plurality of support flanges having an angular spacing about a circumference of the insert, wherein an axial force applied to at least some of the plurality of support flanges is transferred to the insert such that the polymer body remains substantially stress-free.

Application/Control Number: 09/963,774

Art Unit: 3672

As to claim 11, Hundt et al. discloses and a plurality of service ports (34) extending through the annular wall between the first and the second surfaces, the plurality of service ports being angularly spaced about a circumference of the annular wall such that each of the plurality of support flanges (40) aligned radially with one of the plurality of service ports.

As to claim 12, Hundt et al. discloses each of the plurality of support flanges further comprises a seating surface (42) that is substantially coextensive with one of the first and the second surfaces of the polymer body.

As to claim 13, Hundt et al. discloses the seating surface of each of the plurality of support flanges is free of a polymer material forming the polymer body.

As to claim 14, Hundt et al. discloses the seating surface of each of the plurality of support flanges is at least partially encapsulated in a polymer material forming the polymer body.

As to claims 15 and 34, Hundt et al. discloses the polymer body comprises a glass reinforced polyamide (col. 3, lines 24-30).

As to claim 17, Hundt et al. discloses the structurally rigid material Is a metal.

As to claim 18. Hundt et al. discloses the annular inertia ring including a circumferential flange (40) that extends radially inward into the elastomeric layer

Regarding claim 32, Hundt et al. discloses an annular polymer body (14) having a central bore and a plurality of service ports (34); and an insert (34b) disposed in the central bore and formed of a structurally rigid material, the insert including a plurality of support flanges (40) projecting radially outward into the polymer body, adjacent ones of the plurality of support flanges having an angular spacing about a circumference of the insert, and each of said

Art Unit: 3672

plurality of support flanges aligned radially with a corresponding one of said plurality of service ports for permitting access thereto, wherein an axial force applied to at least one of the plurality of support flanges, when the insert is mounted to the rotatable shaft, is preferentially transferred to the insert so that the polymer body remains substantially stress-free.

# Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments filed 17 February 2004 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

Applicant argues claim 34 is patentable because Wells discloses a polymer body for a hub that is formed from a thermoset resinous binder and not a polyamide, a thermoplastic resin. However Wells discloses in column 2 line 20 the web is plastic.

#### Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Kenn Thompson whose telephone number is 703 306-5760. The examiner can normally be reached on 7:00 am - 4:30 pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, David J Bagnell can be reached on 703 308-2151. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Application/Control Number: 09/963,774

Art Unit: 3672

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Page 8

4 May 2004